H-3793.1		
11 0/00-1		

SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2322

State of Washington 57th Legislature 2002 Regular Session

By House Committee on Judiciary (originally sponsored by Representatives Lantz, Kagi, Dickerson, Cox, Quall, Kessler, Kenney, Edwards, Fromhold and Conway)

Read first time 01/25/2002. Referred to Committee on .

- AN ACT Relating to nonparent visitation; amending RCW 26.09.240 and
- 2 26.10.160; adding a new section to chapter 26.10 RCW; creating a new
- 3 section; and declaring an emergency.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** The legislature affirms that parents have a
- 6 paramount right to raise their minor children. The legislature also
- 7 recognizes that the paramount right must be considered in conjunction
- 8 with a minor child's interest in maintaining significant relationships
- 9 with nonparents. Therefore, the legislature intends to establish
- 10 internally consistent and rigorous standards that must be met for a
- 11 nonparent to obtain visitation with a minor child.
- 12 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 26.10 RCW
- 13 to read as follows:
- 14 (1) A person who is not a parent of the child may petition the
- 15 court for visitation with the child if the petitioner can demonstrate
- 16 by a preponderance of the evidence that:
- 17 (a) There is a substantial and beneficial relationship between the
- 18 petitioner and the child;

p. 1 SHB 2322

1 (b) The petitioner has been unreasonably denied visitation with the 2 child by a parent, custodian, or other person with primary decision-3 making authority over the child; and

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

- (c) There has been a significant change in circumstances with either the child, the petitioner, or the parent, custodian, or other person having primary decision-making authority over the child that substantially threatens the relationship between the child and the petitioner. The change in circumstances could include, but is not limited to, a dissolution, legal separation, informal physical separation, death of a parent, or other significant change in the family structure.
- (2)(a) If the court dismisses a petition for visitation brought under this section because the petitioner failed to demonstrate the requirements in subsection (1) of this section, the court may order the petitioner to pay reasonable attorneys' fees and costs to the party responding to the petition. Nothing in this subsection precludes a court from ordering attorneys' fees and costs under RCW 26.10.080.
- (b) Petitions that are not dismissed by the court must be submitted 18 19 to mediation or other alternative dispute resolution before proceeding 20 to trial. Upon petition of any party that mediation or other alternative dispute resolution is not appropriate or available, the 21 court may order or the mediator or alternative dispute resolution 22 23 facilitator may determine that the case is not appropriate for 24 mediation or other alternative dispute resolution. Mediation or other 25 alternative dispute resolution shall be pursuant to procedures 26 established by local or state court rules for family law cases.
- 27 (3)(a) Except as provided in (b) of this subsection, a petition for 28 visitation brought under this section must be filed in the county in 29 which the child resides.
- 30 (b) If a dissolution, legal separation, modification of parenting 31 plan, or other custody proceeding is pending involving the child, the 32 petition for visitation under this section shall be filed in the county 33 in which the proceeding is pending.
- 34 (c) Visitation granted pursuant to this section shall be 35 incorporated into the parenting plan for the child.
- 36 (4) The court may order visitation between the petitioner and the 37 child if the petitioner shows and the court finds by a preponderance of 38 the evidence that visitation is in the child's best interest and:

- 1 (a) Denial of court-ordered visitation would result in a likelihood 2 of substantial harm to the child's physical, psychological, or 3 emotional well-being;
- 4 (b) The likelihood of harm is beyond the normal short-term distress 5 a child suffers due to a change in circumstances;
- 6 (c) Continuation of the relationship between the child and the 7 petitioner would likely have substantial benefits to the child;

8

9

10

30

31

3233

34

3536

37

38

- (d) Visitation would not substantially interfere with the relationship between the child and the parent, custodian, or other person with primary decision-making authority over the child; and
- 11 (e) If there is a residential schedule for the child, visitation is 12 reasonable based on the residential time-sharing arrangements between 13 the parents, custodian, or other person with primary decision-making 14 authority over the child.
- 15 (5) In determining the nature and extent of visitation, the court 16 must consider the wishes of a child who is sufficiently mature to 17 express reasoned and independent preferences as to visitation issues.
- 18 (6) The restrictions of RCW 26.10.160 that apply to parents shall apply to the petitioner under this section.
- 20 **Sec. 3.** RCW 26.09.240 and 1996 c 177 s 1 are each amended to read 21 as follows:
- 22 (1) <u>Under section 1 of this act, a person other than a parent may</u>
 23 petition the court for visitation with a child ((at any time)) or may
 24 intervene in a pending dissolution, legal separation, or modification
 25 of parenting plan proceeding. ((A person other than a parent may not
 26 petition for visitation under this section unless the child's parent or
 27 parents have commenced an action under this chapter.
- 28 (2) A petition for visitation with a child by a person other than 29 a parent must be filed in the county in which the child resides.
 - (3) A petition for visitation or a motion to intervene pursuant to this section shall be dismissed unless the petitioner or intervenor can demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that a significant relationship exists with the child with whom visitation is sought. If the petition or motion is dismissed for failure to establish the existence of a significant relationship, the petitioner or intervenor shall be ordered to pay reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the parent, parents, other custodian, or representative of the child who responds to this petition or motion.

p. 3 SHB 2322

- (4) The court may order visitation between the petitioner or intervenor and the child between whom a significant relationship exists upon a finding supported by the evidence that the visitation is in the child's best interests.
- (5)(a) Visitation with a grandparent shall be presumed to be in the child's best interests when a significant relationship has been shown to exist. This presumption may be rebutted by a preponderance of evidence showing that visitation would endanger the child's physical, mental, or emotional health.
- (b) If the court finds that reasonable visitation by a grandparent would be in the child's best interest except for hostilities that exist between the grandparent and one or both of the parents or person with whom the child lives, the court may set the matter for mediation under RCW 26.09.015.
- 15 (6) The court may consider the following factors when making a 16 determination of the child's best interests:
- 17 (a) The strength of the relationship between the child and the 18 petitioner;
- 19 (b) The relationship between each of the child's parents or the 20 person with whom the child is residing and the petitioner;
- 21 (c) The nature and reason for either parent's objection to granting 22 the petitioner visitation;
- 23 (d) The effect that granting visitation will have on the 24 relationship between the child and the child's parents or the person 25 with whom the child is residing;
- 26 (e) The residential time sharing arrangements between the parents;
- 27 (f) The good faith of the petitioner;

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

- 28 (g) Any criminal history or history of physical, emotional, or 29 sexual abuse or neglect by the petitioner; and
- 30 (h) Any other factor relevant to the child's best interest.
- 31 (7) The restrictions of RCW 26.09.191 that apply to parents shall 32 be applied to a petitioner or intervenor who is not a parent. The 33 nature and extent of visitation, subject to these restrictions, is in 34 the discretion of the court.
- 35 (8) The court may order an investigation and report concerning the 36 proposed visitation or may appoint a guardian ad litem as provided in 37 RCW 26.09.220.
- 38 (9) Visitation granted pursuant to this section shall be 39 incorporated into the parenting plan for the child.

- 1 (10) The court may modify or terminate visitation rights granted 2 pursuant to this section in any subsequent modification action upon a 3 showing that the visitation is no longer in the best interest of the 4 child.))
- 5 **Sec. 4.** RCW 26.10.160 and 1996 c 303 s 2 are each amended to read 6 as follows:
- 7 (1) A parent not granted custody of the child is entitled to 8 reasonable visitation rights except as provided in subsection (2) of 9 this section.
- (2)(a) Visitation with the child shall be limited if it is found 10 that the parent seeking visitation has engaged in any of the following 11 12 conduct: (i) Willful abandonment that continues for an extended period of time or substantial refusal to perform parenting functions; (ii) 13 14 physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of a child; (iii) a 15 history of acts of domestic violence as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault which causes grievous bodily harm or the 16 fear of such harm; or (iv) the parent has been convicted as an adult of 17 18 a sex offense under:
- 19 (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the 20 offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of 21 this subsection;
- (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
- (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (d) of this subsection;
- 28 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
- 29 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 30 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;
- 31 (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age 32 between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists
- 33 under (d) of this subsection;
- 34 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 35 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 36 in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of this subsection;

p. 5 SHB 2322

- 1 (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 2 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (a)(iv)(A) through (H) of 3 this subsection.
- This subsection (2)(a) shall not apply when (c) or (d) of this subsection applies.
- (b) The parent's visitation with the child shall be limited if it 6 7 is found that the parent resides with a person who has engaged in any 8 of the following conduct: (i) Physical, sexual, or a pattern of 9 emotional abuse of a child; (ii) a history of acts of domestic violence 10 as defined in RCW 26.50.010(1) or an assault or sexual assault that causes grievous bodily harm or the fear of such harm; or (iii) the 11 person has been convicted as an adult or as a juvenile has been 12 13 adjudicated of a sex offense under:
- (A) RCW 9A.44.076 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- 17 (B) RCW 9A.44.079 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- (C) RCW 9A.44.086 if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- 23 (D) RCW 9A.44.089;
- 24 (E) RCW 9A.44.093;
- 25 (F) RCW 9A.44.096;
- (G) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2) if, because of the difference in age between the offender and the victim, no rebuttable presumption exists under (e) of this subsection;
- 29 (H) Chapter 9.68A RCW;
- 30 (I) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed 31 in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of this subsection;
- 32 (J) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 33 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (b)(iii)(A) through (H) of 34 this subsection.
- This subsection (2)(b) shall not apply when (c) or (e) of this subsection applies.
- 37 (c) If a parent has been found to be a sexual predator under 38 chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any other 39 jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact with a

- 1 child that would otherwise be allowed under this chapter. If a parent
- 2 resides with an adult or a juvenile who has been found to be a sexual
- 3 predator under chapter 71.09 RCW or under an analogous statute of any
- 4 other jurisdiction, the court shall restrain the parent from contact
- 5 with the parent's child except contact that occurs outside that
- 6 person's presence.
- 7 (d) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has been
- 8 convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of
- 9 this subsection poses a present danger to a child. Unless the parent
- 10 rebuts this presumption, the court shall restrain the parent from
- 11 contact with a child that would otherwise be allowed under this
- 12 chapter:
- 13 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted
- 14 was at least five years older than the other person;
- 15 (ii) RCW 9A.44.073;
- 16 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at
- 17 least eight years older than the victim;
- 18 (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least
- 19 eight years older than the victim;
- 20 (v) RCW 9A.44.083;
- 21 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least
- 22 eight years older than the victim;
- 23 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;
- 24 (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses
- 25 listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of this subsection;
- 26 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an
- 27 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (d)(i) through (vii) of
- 28 this subsection.
- 29 (e) There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who resides
- 30 with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted, or as a juvenile
- 31 has been adjudicated, of the sex offenses listed in (e)(i) through (ix)
- 32 of this subsection places a child at risk of abuse or harm when that
- 33 parent exercises visitation in the presence of the convicted or
- 34 adjudicated person. Unless the parent rebuts the presumption, the
- 35 court shall restrain the parent from contact with the parent's child
- 36 except for contact that occurs outside of the convicted or adjudicated
- 37 person's presence:
- 38 (i) RCW 9A.64.020 (1) or (2), provided that the person convicted
- 39 was at least five years older than the other person;

p. 7 SHB 2322

- (ii) RCW 9A.44.073; 1
- 2 (iii) RCW 9A.44.076, provided that the person convicted was at 3 least eight years older than the victim;
- (iv) RCW 9A.44.079, provided that the person convicted was at least 4 5 eight years older than the victim;
- (v) RCW 9A.44.083; 6
- 7 (vi) RCW 9A.44.086, provided that the person convicted was at least 8 eight years older than the victim;
- 9 (vii) RCW 9A.44.100;

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32 33

35

- 10 (viii) Any predecessor or antecedent statute for the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection; 11
- 12 (ix) Any statute from any other jurisdiction that describes an 13 offense analogous to the offenses listed in (e)(i) through (vii) of this subsection. 14
- 15 (f) The presumption established in (d) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that: 16
 - (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, and (B) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child; or
 - (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the offending parent is in the child's best interest, and (C) the offending parent has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.
- 34 (g) The presumption established in (e) of this subsection may be rebutted only after a written finding that:
- (i) If the child was not the victim of the sex offense committed by 36 37 the person who is residing with the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or 38 39 adjudicated person is appropriate and that parent is able to protect

the child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person, and
the child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in
treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in
such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment
provider believes such contact is appropriate and poses minimal risk to
the child; or

- (ii) If the child was the victim of the sex offense committed by the person who is residing with the parent requesting visitation, (A) contact between the child and the parent in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, (B) if the child is in or has been in therapy for victims of sexual abuse, the child's counselor believes such contact between the child and the parent residing with the convicted or adjudicated person in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is in the child's best interest, and (C) the convicted or adjudicated person has successfully engaged in treatment for sex offenders or is engaged in and making progress in such treatment, if any was ordered by a court, and the treatment provider believes contact between the parent and child in the presence of the convicted or adjudicated person is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child.
- (h) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (f) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent who has been convicted as an adult of a sex offense listed in (d)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (i) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who has been adjudicated as a juvenile of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child in the presence of the person adjudicated as a juvenile, supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of

p. 9 SHB 2322

such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

3334

35

3637

38

39

- (j) If the court finds that the parent has met the burden of rebutting the presumption under (g) of this subsection, the court may allow a parent residing with a person who, as an adult, has been convicted of a sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection to have visitation with the child in the presence of the convicted person supervised by a neutral and independent adult and pursuant to an adequate plan for supervision of such visitation. The court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between the child and the parent unless the court finds, based on the evidence, that the supervisor is willing and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing or capable of protecting the child.
- (k) A court shall not order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child of the offending parent who was sexually abused by that parent. A court may order unsupervised contact between the offending parent and a child who was not sexually abused by the parent after the presumption under (d) of this subsection has been rebutted and supervised visitation has occurred for at least two years with no further arrests or convictions of sex offenses involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 9.68A RCW and (i) the sex offense of the offending parent was not committed against a child of the offending parent, and (ii) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the offending parent is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in treating child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one period of visitation between the parent and the child, and after consideration of evidence of the offending parent's compliance with community supervision requirements, if any. If the offending parent was not ordered by a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the parent shall obtain a

psychosexual evaluation conducted by a state-certified sex offender treatment provider indicating that the offender has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child.

5 (1) A court may order unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of a juvenile adjudicated of a 6 7 sex offense listed in (e)(i) through (ix) of this subsection who 8 resides with the parent after the presumption under (e) of this 9 subsection has been rebutted and supervised visitation has occurred for 10 at least two years during which time the adjudicated juvenile has had no further arrests, adjudications, or convictions of sex offenses 11 involving children under chapter 9A.44 RCW, RCW 9A.64.020, or chapter 12 13 9.68A RCW, and (i) the court finds that unsupervised contact between the child and the parent that may occur in the presence of the 14 15 adjudicated juvenile is appropriate and poses minimal risk to the child, after consideration of the testimony of a state-certified 16 therapist, mental health counselor, or social worker with expertise in 17 treatment of child sexual abuse victims who has supervised at least one 18 19 period of visitation between the parent and the child in the presence 20 of the adjudicated juvenile, and after consideration of evidence of the adjudicated juvenile's compliance with community supervision or parole 21 requirements, if any. If the adjudicated juvenile was not ordered by 22 23 a court to participate in treatment for sex offenders, then the 24 adjudicated juvenile shall obtain a psychosexual evaluation conducted 25 by a state-certified sex offender treatment provider indicating that 26 the adjudicated juvenile has the lowest likelihood of risk to reoffend 27 before the court grants unsupervised contact between the parent and a child which may occur in the presence of the adjudicated juvenile who 28 is residing with the parent. 29

(m)(i) The limitations imposed by the court under (a) or (b) of this subsection shall be reasonably calculated to protect the child from the physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting visitation. If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that limitations on visitation with the child will not adequately protect the child from the harm or abuse that could result if the child has contact with the parent requesting visitation, the court shall restrain the person seeking visitation from all contact with the child.

30

31

3233

34

35

3637

38

p. 11 SHB 2322

(ii) The court shall not enter an order under (a) of this subsection allowing a parent to have contact with a child if the parent has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused the child, except upon recommendation by an evaluator or therapist for the child that the child is ready for contact with the parent and will not be harmed by the contact. The court shall not enter an order allowing a parent to have contact with the child in the offender's presence if the parent resides with a person who has been found by clear and convincing evidence in a civil action or by a preponderance of the evidence in a dependency action to have sexually abused a child, unless the court finds that the parent accepts that the person engaged in the harmful conduct and the parent is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm from the person.

(iii) If the court limits visitation under (a) or (b) of this subsection to require supervised contact between the child and the parent, the court shall not approve of a supervisor for contact between a child and a parent who has engaged in physical, sexual, or a pattern of emotional abuse of the child unless the court finds based upon the evidence that the supervisor accepts that the harmful conduct occurred and is willing to and capable of protecting the child from harm. The court shall revoke court approval of the supervisor upon finding, based on the evidence, that the supervisor has failed to protect the child or is no longer willing to or capable of protecting the child.

(n) If the court expressly finds based on the evidence that contact between the parent and the child will not cause physical, sexual, or emotional abuse or harm to the child and that the probability that the parent's or other person's harmful or abusive conduct will recur is so remote that it would not be in the child's best interests to apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of this subsection, or if the court expressly finds that the parent's conduct did not have an impact on the child, then the court need not apply the limitations of (a), (b), and (m)(i) and (iii) of this subsection. The weight given to the existence of a protection order issued under chapter 26.50 RCW as to domestic violence is within the discretion of the court. This subsection shall not apply when (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l), and (m)(ii) of this subsection apply.

(3) ((Any person may petition the court for visitation rights at any time including, but not limited to, custody proceedings. The court may order visitation rights for any person when visitation may serve the best interest of the child whether or not there has been any change of circumstances.

1

2

3 4

5

- 6 (4))) The court may modify an order granting or denying visitation 7 rights whenever modification would serve the best interests of the 8 child. Modification of a parent's visitation rights shall be subject 9 to the requirements of subsection (2) of this section.
- 10 (((5))) (4) For the purposes of this section, a parent's child 11 means that parent's natural child, adopted child, or stepchild.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. This act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the state government and its existing public institutions, and takes effect immediately.

--- END ---

p. 13 SHB 2322